Utilizing Insights from Optimization Trajectories of Deep Learning

Boyang "Albert" Li

Nanyang Associate Professor & NRF Fellow School of Computer Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore



Insights from Optimization Trajectories of Deep Learning

• Optimizing the loss function $\mathcal{L}(w)$ over network parameters w

$$w \leftarrow w - \eta \, \frac{d\mathcal{L}(w)}{dw}$$

$$\Sigma(w) = \sum_{i} \ell(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}, w) \qquad \mathsf{t}$$

Summation over training data points

- The simple method above (with some additional details) achieves surprisingly good results.
- How is this possible?
 - What about non-convex losses (multiple local minima)?

The Optimization Trajectory of Deep Learning



- Red dots: the iterates of SGD after each tenth epoch.
- Blue dots: locations of nearby "bad" minima with perfect train accuracy but poor generalization.
- The final iterate of SGD (black star) also achieves perfect train accuracy, but with 98.5% test accuracy. Miraculously, SGD always finds its way through a landscape full of bad minima, and lands at a minimizer with excellent generalization.

W. Ronny Huang, Zeyad Emam, Micah Goldblum, Liam Fowl, Justin K. Terry, Furong Huang, Tom Goldstein. Understanding Generalization through Visualizations. 2019



Utilize Neighborhood Information? Initialization Matters.

Interaction History



• Many neural recommender systems are outperformed by simple nearest neighbor methods [1].

[1] Maurizio Ferrari Dacrema, Paolo Cremonesi, and Dietmar Jannach. Are We Really Making Much Progress? A Worrying Analysis of Recent Neural Recommendation Approaches. RecSys 2019.



 Neighborhood-informed initialization boosts multiple deep learning methods above nearest neighbors and other simple baselines [2].

[2] Yinan Zhang, Boyang Li, Yong Liu, Hao Wang, Chunyan Miao. Initialization Matters: Regularizing Manifoldinformed Initialization for Neural Recommendation Systems. KDD 2021.



Which training points affect predictions? Trajectory Matters.



• Previous works like [3] do not model the change in the entire optimization trajectory.

[3] Koh, P. W. and Liang, P. Understanding black-box predictions via influence functions. ICML 2017.





Which training points affect predictions? Trajectory Matters.



• Previous works like [3] do not model the change in the entire optimization trajectory.

[3] Koh, P. W. and Liang, P. Understanding black-box predictions via influence functions. ICML 2017.

• In [4], we explicitly consider the change in the trajectory and propose an approximation algorithm with bounded and diminishing errors.

[4] Yuanyuan Chen, Boyang Li, Han Yu, Pengcheng Wu, and Chunyan Miao. HyDRA: Hypergradient Data Relevance Analysis for Interpreting Deep Neural Networks. AAAI 2021.



6

Multiple Losses? Their Interaction Matters.





- In this common transfer learning setup, the domain discriminator encourages the source-domain and target-domain features to be similar.
- However, this can create difficulties in optimization.
- We encourage the gradients of different losses to point in the same direction, which improves transfer.

[5] Xu Guo, Boyang Li, Han Yu, and Chunyan Miao. Latent-Optimized Adversarial Neural Transfer for Sarcasm Detection. NAACL 2021.



Multiple Losses? Their Interaction Matters.





• First, take a GD step on L_d with latent representation z_s and z_t

$$z'_{s} = z_{s} - \gamma \frac{dL_{d}}{dz_{s}}, \qquad z'_{t} = z_{t} - \gamma \frac{dL_{d}}{dz_{t}}$$

- After that, optimize domain-specific losses on z'_s and z'_t $\mathcal{L} = L_s(z'_s) + L_t(z'_t) + L_d(z_s, z_t)$
- Why does this work? By first-order Taylor expansion

$$L_s(z'_s) \approx L_s(z_s) + \frac{dL_s(z_s)}{dz_s} \left(-\gamma \frac{dL_d}{dz_s}\right)$$

• Minimizing $L_s(z'_s)$ is to encourage $\frac{dL_s(z_s)}{dz_s}$ and $\frac{dL_d}{dz_s}$ to have the similar directions.

[5] Xu Guo, Boyang Li, Han Yu, and Chunyan Miao. Latent-Optimized Adversarial Neural Transfer for Sarcasm Detection. NAACL 2021.



8